

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE           | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/674,329  | 12/22/2000            | Hans Hansen          | 367. 39226X00       | 5206             |  |
| 20457   | 20457 7590 06/28/2005 |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |  |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP<br>1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET<br>SUITE 1800 |                       |                      | СНО, НО             | CHO, HONG SOL    |  |
|   |                       |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ARLINGTON   | , VA 22209-3873       |                      | 2662                | 2662             |  |

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   | <b>U</b>   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summany   | 09/674,329  | HANSEN ET AL.  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |
|   | Hong Cho  | 2662   |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ag  | <u>oril 2005</u> .  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 17-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | ☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:   |  |  |  |  |

## Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 4/12/2005. Claims 1-16 were canceled. Claims 17-37 are pending in the instant application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First paragraph

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 17-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 17, 23, 25, 29 and 35 recite "forwarding or receving a request for earlier requested data to the server". The original specification fails to describe the above claim limitation.

Claims 18-22, 24, 26-28, 30-34, 36 and 37 dependent on claims 17, 23, 25, 29 and 35 are therefore similarly rejected.

#### Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 09/674,329 Page 3

Art Unit: 2662

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hong Cho whose telephone number is 571-272-3087.

  The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri during 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3088.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,329

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hc Hong Cho Patent Examiner 6/15/2005

HASSAN KIZOU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600